APPENDIX FF - Noise Abatement

Table of Contents

APPENDIX FF - Noise Abatement	FF-i
Noise Abatement for Residential Areas	FF-3
A Method for Determining Reasonableness of Construction	
Introduction	
Determination of Reasonableness	
Reasonableness Determination.	
Total Scores and Evaluation.	FF-5
Additional Considerations.	FF-5
NOISE BARRIER DECISION-MAKING	

Noise Abatement for Residential Areas

A Method for Determining Reasonableness of Construction

Introduction

Chapter 30, Section 1, of the Project Development Procedures Manual (PDPM) introduces the subjects of reasonableness and feasibility regarding the construction of noise barriers. As pointed out in that Section, environmental studies may result in recommendations that a proposed project consider providing noise abatement to protect specific properties, and it is among the Project Engineer's responsibilities to determine which recommended actions are reasonable and feasible.

That same Section of the PDPM introduces Reasonableness Factors, while Section 2 provides more details regarding the determination of reasonableness, and also introduces six Reasonableness Criteria. To assist the Project Engineer in making a determination regarding reasonableness of constructing noise abatement for residential properties, this subjective scoring method has been developed.

Project Engineers are encouraged to make use of this scoring method as part of their determination of the reasonableness of proposed noise abatement facilities. Evaluation comments regarding the value and effectiveness of this scoring method should be provided to the Headquarters Office of State Noise Abatement and Accessibility Design Standards.

Determination of Reasonableness

Determining the reasonableness of constructing proposed noise abatement facilities should take several factors into consideration. When determining the reasonableness of proposed noise abatement facilities the criteria and procedures that follow should not always be rigidly applied. The consideration of "gray areas" is intended and should always be part of the decision-making process.

There may be instances where noise abatement should be found to be reasonable even though it is found to fall outside some of the established criteria and procedures: e.g., it costs more than the reasonable cost index; absolute traffic noise levels are lower, but increases over existing noise levels are great; changes in noise levels are small, but the absolute levels are high; increase in noise levels since initial development occurred are great, etc.

With the above comments in mind, the six Factors and criteria shown in the following table should be used to make a basic determination of the reasonableness of constructing a noise barrier. Scoring points have been assigned for various values or ranges of values within each criteria to indicate reasonableness. These points will provide a greater positive score for values which are more reasonable, and, in the case of the barrier cost per residence, negative values are shown for very high-cost proposals. When determining an overall score the "weight factor" indicated for each criterion should be applied.

Reasonableness Determination

	Factor	Criteria		Points
		Cost per residence	But no	
1.	The noise barrier cost per	is more than	more than	
	protected residence (see	\$0	\$15,000	4
	Note below)	\$15,000	\$20,000	3 2
		\$20,000	\$25,000	2
	(Weight factor = 2)	\$25,000	\$30,000	1
		\$30,000	\$35,000	0
		\$35,000	\$40,000	- 4
		\$40,000		- 8
		Percentage is	But no	
2.	The percentage of the	more than	more than	
	impacted housing	80%	00-1	4
	development that predated the	60%	80%	3
	initial highway construction	40%	60%	4 3 2 1
	(TI : 1 · C · · · 1 · 5)	20%	40%	
-	(Weight factor = 1.5)	0%	20%	0
	TTI (C.1	Percentage is	But no	
3.	The percentage of the	more than	more than	4
	impacted housing	80%	900/	4 3 2 1
	development which has been in	60%	80%	3
	place for at least 10 years	40% 20%	60% 40%	<u> </u>
	(Weight factor = 1)	0%	20%	0
	(Weight factor = 1)	Noise Level (dBA),		U
4.	The future "build" noise	Leq(h) is more than	more than	
4.	levels (i.e., with the proposed	75	more man	1
	project)	73	75	3
	projecty	69	72	2
	(Weight factor $= 1.5$)	66	69	4 3 2 2
	(1.8mt 140101 - 1.0)		66	$\overset{2}{0}$
		Increase (dBA),	But no	
5.	The increase in the proposed	Leq(h) is more than	more than	
	project's "build" noise levels	15		4
	over the existing noise levels	10	15	3 2
	C	5	10	
	(Weight factor $= 1.5$)	3	5	1
			3	0
		Increase (dBA),	But no	
6.	The increase in the proposed	<u>Leq(h)is more than</u>	more than	_
	project's future "build" noise	15		4
	levels compared to the future	10	15	3
	"no-build" noise levels	5	10	4 3 2 1
	(TT 1 1 . C	3	5	
	(Weight factor $= 1.5$)		3	0

Note: The scoring for the cost-per-residence factor is based on the cost-effectiveness criterion of \$35,000 for the Retrofit Program (See Chapter 30, Section 3, of the PDPM) which is subject to periodic adjustment. When adjustments are made to that factor, the scoring method shown here will be modified by DLPP.

Total Scores and Evaluation

After scores for the six individual factors have been determined, an overall score should be determined from their sum. The total score should be assessed as follows:

More than 15.5, construction of a noise barrier is reasonable.

12.5 to 15.5, Additional Considerations must be applied.

Less than 12.5, construction of a noise barrier is not reasonable.

Additional Considerations

When appropriate, as determined by the total score of the Reasonableness Factors, the following factors should be considered. These factors are discussed in Chapter 30, Section 1, of the PDPM.

- 1. Environmental impacts
- 2. Land use controls
- 3. Large noise impacts
- 4. Residents' views

The format on the following page may be used for evaluating the reasonableness criteria, as well as documenting the decision-making process.

NOISE BARRIER DECISION-M

-				_
V	NI-		_	_
<u>res</u>	<u>NO</u>			
SS				
Points	X X X X X X	Weight Factor 2 1.5 1 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5	= = = = =	Score
5.5, Addition 12.5, constru	ruction of al Consid uction of	noise barrier erations mus	is reas	olied.
	Yes	Yes No SS Points X X X X X X X X X Y Ton 15.5, construction of 5.5, Additional Consider 12.5, construction of 50NS (if required) No No	Yes No ————————————————————————————————————	